Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,703	TAKAHASHI, KOJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jingge Wu	2623
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):	
(1) Jingge Wu.	(3)	
(2) <u>Bhanskar Kakalla</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 June 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 3</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) h	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant states that the earlier filing date than that of the reference used in non-size Examiner agrees to withdraw the advisory action and allowed the state of the reference used in non-size than the state of the state of</u>	e case ahould be allowed becar latutory double patenting that is	use the instant case has
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTREQuirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20060628